

Suresh Patel

37 Miller Street
 Toronto, Ontario M6N 2Z6
 Email: trutth@yahoo.com; CANADA

June 27, 2008

Commissioner of Patents (USPTO)
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314
 USA
 Randolph Building
 Phone: (1-703) 305 31 65 (applications)
 Patent Central FAX Number: 571 - 273 - 8300

Subject: Response to the office action by Examiner Victor J. Taylor dated April 2, 2008

Ref: **PCT/CA2005/001537:** System and Method of Parallel Loadflow Calculation for Electrical Power System (US application no. 10/594715)

Dear Sir/Madam,

Enclosed please find:

1. Amended copy of description, claims, abstract, and 7-figures in 10-pages of my application no. PCT/CA2005/0015372 that entered into USA national phase with US application no. **10/594715** (47-pages). This amendment is being provided in response to the office action dated April 2, 2008 by examiner Mr. Victor J. Taylor
2. A marked-up in red ink copy of the as filed **PCT/CA2005/001537** application (18-pages with contents on both sides)

The description include added description of the prior art exemplary system of added Fig. 7, where the invention is used for claiming and establishing the utility of the invention just as was done for my prior application PCT/CA2003/001312 that also has entered into USA national phase. This added description and added claims 4 to 9 are not about new inventions, but they all are prior art matters. This is to follow the style of the reference 2, which is US patent numbered 5081591 by Hanway et al. Basic inventions claimed are contained in claims 1 to 3. The claims 4 to 9 can be dropped if method patent can be granted only for claims 1 to 3.

Claim Objections:

Claims are completely rewritten to reflect the new added material, and they are formulated in the same way of my previous application PCT/CA2003/001312 that has entered into various national phase. **Moreover, sincere attempts are made to remove claim objections by examiner, Mr. Victor J. Taylor, of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the previous claim and reference to Fig.4 removed from claim.**